

Equivalence between two-dimensional
alternating/random Ising model and
the ground state of
one-dimensional alternating/random XY chain.

Kazuhiko MINAMI

9/12/2012

Abstract

It is derived that the two-dimensional Ising model with alternating/random interactions and with periodic/free boundary conditions is equivalent to the ground state of the one-dimensional alternating/random XY model with the corresponding periodic/free boundary conditions. This provides an exact equivalence between a random rectangular Ising model, in which the Griffiths-McCoy phase appears, and a random XY chain.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University,
Nagoya, 464-8602, JAPAN

minami@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Let us consider the two-dimensional rectangular Ising model

$$H_1 = - \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N [J_j^h \sigma_{ij}^x \sigma_{i+1,j}^x + J_j^v \sigma_{ij}^x \sigma_{i+1,j}^z], \quad (1)$$

where $\{\sigma_{ij}^k\}$ ($k = x, y, z$) are the Pauli operators satisfying $\sigma_{i+M,j}^k = \sigma_{ij}^k$ and $\sigma_{i,j+N}^k = \sigma_{ij}^k$. The partition function of the model (1) is obtained from the maximum eigenvalue of the transfer matrix

$$V = V_1^{1/2} V_2 V_1^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{e^{K_j^v}}{\cosh K_j^{v*}} \right] \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^N K_j^{v*} \sigma_j^z \right], \\ V_2 &= \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^N K_j^h \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x \right], \quad K_j^l = J_j^l / k_B T \quad (l = v, h). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\{\sigma_j^k\}$ are again the Pauli operators satisfying $\sigma_{j+M}^k = \sigma_j^k$, and K_j^{v*} is the dual interaction of K_j^v defined by $\tanh K_j^{v*} = \exp(-2K_j^v)$.

Suzuki derived [1] [2] that the transfer matrix (2) with the uniform interactions $K_j^v = K^v$ and $K_j^h = K^h$ commute with the Hamiltonian of the one-dimensional quantum XY model

$$H_{XY} = - \sum_{j=1}^N [J_j^x \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + J_j^y \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y] - \sum_{j=1}^N \mu H_j \sigma_j^z, \quad (3)$$

with the uniform interactions $J_j^k = J^k$ ($k = x, y$) and the uniform external fields $H_j = H$. He showed that two operators V and H_{XY} commute when the coupling parameters satisfy the condition that

$$J^y / J^x = \tanh^2 K^{v*}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mu H / J^x = 2 \tanh K^{v*} \coth 2K^h. \quad (5)$$

Hence V and H_{XY} can be diagonalized with a common basis set of eigenvectors. In particular, the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of V coincides with the ground state of H_{XY} , and hence the thermodynamic properties, such as correlation functions and critical singularities of the two-dimensional Ising model are related to those obtained in the ground state of the one-dimensional quantum XY model.

In this letter, it is derived that this equivalence can be extended to the models with general coupling parameters including alternating and random interactions and external fields with periodic or free boundary conditions. The condition

$$[V, H_{XY}] = 0, \quad (6)$$

is equivalent to

$$V_2 V_1^{1/2} H_{XY} V_1^{-1/2} V_2^{-1} = V_1^{-1/2} H_{XY} V_1^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & V_1^{1/2} H_{XY} V_1^{-1/2} = \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^N [J_j^x (c_j^+ \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x - c_j^- \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + s_j^+ i \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^x + s_j^- i \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^y) \\ & + J_j^y (-c_j^- \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + c_j^+ \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y - s_j^- i \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^x - s_j^+ i \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^y)] \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^N \mu H_j \sigma_j^z, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_j^\pm &= \frac{1}{2} [\cosh(K_j^{v*} + K_{j+1}^{v*}) \pm \cosh(K_j^{v*} - K_{j+1}^{v*})] \\ s_j^\pm &= \frac{1}{2} [\sinh(K_j^{v*} + K_{j+1}^{v*}) \pm \sinh(K_j^{v*} - K_{j+1}^{v*})]. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of the term $\sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y$ vanishes if we assume

$$J_j^x c_j^- = J_j^y c_j^+. \quad (9)$$

Then it is straightforward to derive that (7) is satisfied if we assume

$$\begin{aligned} \mu H_j &= \mu H_j \tilde{c}_j^+ - \tilde{J}_{j-1}^- [+ -]_j - \tilde{J}_j^+ [- +]_j \\ 0 &= \mu H_j \tilde{c}_j^- - \tilde{J}_{j-1}^- [- +]_j - \tilde{J}_j^+ [+ -]_j \\ -\tilde{J}_{j-1}^- &= (-\mu H_j) [+ -]_j + \tilde{J}_{j-1}^- \tilde{c}_j^+ + \tilde{J}_j^+ \tilde{c}_j^- \\ -\tilde{J}_j^+ &= (-\mu H_j) [- +]_j + \tilde{J}_{j-1}^- \tilde{c}_j^- + \tilde{J}_j^+ \tilde{c}_j^+, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\tilde{J}_j^\pm = s_j^\pm J_j^x - s_j^\mp J_j^y$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_j^\pm &= \frac{1}{2} [\cosh(2K_{j-1}^h + 2K_j^h) \pm \cosh(2K_{j-1}^h - 2K_j^h)] \\ \tilde{s}_j^\pm &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\sinh(2K_{j-1}^h + 2K_j^h)}{2K_{j-1}^h + 2K_j^h} \pm \frac{\sinh(2K_{j-1}^h - 2K_j^h)}{2K_{j-1}^h - 2K_j^h} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and the symbols $[+-]_j$ and $[-+]_j$ denote

$$[\pm, \mp]_j = 2K_{j-1}^h \tilde{s}_j^\pm + 2K_j^h \tilde{s}_j^\mp.$$

From the equation (9), J_j^x and J_j^y can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} J_j^x &= (\cosh K_j^{v*})(\cosh K_{j+1}^{v*}) \tau_j, \\ J_j^y &= (\sinh K_j^{v*})(\sinh K_{j+1}^{v*}) \tau_j. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Therefore, V and H_{XY} commute if (10) and (12) are satisfied.

There are solutions for the equations (9) and (10) in the following cases:

1-1) Uniform interactions: The interactions of the Ising model are uniform, $K_j^v = K^v$ and $K_j^h = K^h$. In this case, $c_j^\pm = c^\pm$, $s_j^\pm = s$, $\tilde{c}_j^\pm = \tilde{c}^\pm$, and $\tilde{s}_j^\pm = \tilde{s}^\pm$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}[\cosh 2K^{v*} \pm 1], \quad s = \frac{1}{2} \sinh 2K^{v*}, \\ \tilde{c}^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}[\cosh 4K^h \pm 1], \quad \tilde{s}^\pm = \frac{1}{2}[\frac{\sinh 4K^h}{4K^h} \pm 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the uniform interactions $J_j^k = J^k$ ($k = x, y$) and the uniform external fields $H_j = H$, the equations in (12) result in (4), and four equations in (10) reduce to one identical condition

$$\mu H = 2s(J^x - J^y) \frac{\sinh 4K^h}{\cosh 4K^h - 1}, \quad (13)$$

which results in (5).

1-2) Alternating-sign K_j^h : Let us consider the case in which the vertical interactions of the Ising model are uniform, $K_j^v = K^v$, and the horizontal interactions alternate in sign as $K_j^h = (-1)^j K^h$. In this case, $c_j^\pm = c^\pm$, $s_j^\pm = s$, $\tilde{c}_j^\pm = \pm \tilde{c}^\pm$, and $\tilde{s}_j^\pm = \pm \tilde{s}^\pm$. Assuming $J_j^k = (-1)^j J^k$ ($k = x, y$), i.e. $\tau_j = (-1)^j \tau$, we again obtain (13).

1-3) Alternating K_j^v : Let us consider the case in which the vertical interactions of the Ising model alternate as $K_j^v = K_A^v$ for $j = \text{odd}$ and $K_j^v = K_B^v$ for $j = \text{even}$, and the horizontal interactions are uniform, $K_j^h = K^h$. In this case $c_j^\pm = c_{AB}^\pm$, $s_j^\pm = s_{AB}^\pm$ for $j = \text{odd}$ and $s_j^\pm = s_{AB}^\pm$ for $j = \text{even}$, $\tilde{c}_j^\pm = \tilde{c}^\pm$, and $\tilde{s}_j^\pm = \tilde{s}^\pm$, where $c_{AB}^\pm$ and $s_{AB}^\pm$ are obtained from (11) substituting $K_j^v = K_A^v$ and $K_{j+1}^v = K_B^v$. Assuming $\tau_j = \tau$, the equations in (10) reduce to one condition that results in alternating external fields

$$\mu H_j = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2K_j^{v*} \right) \tau \frac{\sinh 4K^h}{\cosh 4K^h - 1}.$$

In this case, H_j are alternating for j being even and odd, but J_j^x and J_j^y are uniform, i.e. $J_j^x = J^x = (\cosh K_A^{v*})(\cosh K_B^{v*})\tau$ and $J_j^y = J^y = (\sinh K_A^{v*})(\sinh K_B^{v*})\tau$. We assume that K_A^v and K_B^v have the same sign so that the couplings of the XY model remain real (note that the dual interaction of $-K^v$ is $(-K^v)^* = K^{v*} \pm \pi i/2$).

Two cases 1-2) and 1-3) are not exclusive. The Ising model with alternating-sign K_j^h and alternating K_j^v has its equivalent XY chain.

1-4) Random-sign K_j^h : Let us consider the case where the horizontal interactions of the Ising model have the same absolute value but

random signs, $K_j^h = \epsilon_j K^h$, where $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$. In this case we obtain $c_j^\pm = c^\pm$, $s_j^\pm = s$, and also obtain that $\tilde{c}_j^\pm = \tilde{c}^\pm$ and $\tilde{s}_j^\pm = \tilde{s}^\pm$ for $\epsilon_{j-1} = \epsilon_j$, and $\tilde{c}_j^\pm = \pm \tilde{c}^\pm$ and $\tilde{s}_j^\pm = \pm \tilde{s}^\pm$ for $\epsilon_{j-1} = -\epsilon_j$. Assuming the sign of the interactions as $J_j^k = \epsilon_j J^k$ ($k = x, y$), i.e. $\tau_j = \epsilon_j \tau$, we again obtain the solution (13).

1-5) Arbitrary K_j^v : Let us consider the case where the vertical interactions of the Ising model $\{K_j^v\}$ have arbitrary strength with the same sign. A solution exists provided that $\tilde{J}_{j-1}^- = \tilde{J}_j^+$ for each j . In this case, $\tau_j = \tau$ in (12) and the external fields are obtained as

$$\mu H_j = 2s_j \tau \frac{\sinh 4K^h}{\cosh 4K^h - 1}, \quad s_j = \frac{1}{2} \sinh 2K_j^{v*}. \quad (14)$$

The cases 1-2) and 1-3) are special cases of 1-4) and 1-5), respectively. Again two conditions 1-4) and 1-5) are not exclusive. Assuming 1-4), $K_j^h = \epsilon_j K^h$ and $J_j^k = \epsilon_j J^k$ ($k = x, y$), a solution for 1-5) exists when $\tilde{J}_{j-1}^- = \tilde{J}_j^+$. The external fields are obtained from (14).

For all of these cases, from 1-1) to 1-5), a solution exists 2-1) with the periodic boundary condition, and 2-2) with the free boundary condition. Assuming that $K_N^h = 0$, we obtain $\tilde{c}_N^+ = \cosh 2K^h$, $\tilde{c}_N^- = 0$, $\tilde{s}_N^+ = \sinh 2K^h / 2K^h$ and $\tilde{s}_N^- = 0$. Assuming a finite XY chain $\tau_N = 0$, and hence $\tilde{J}_N^+ = 0$, the condition (9) for $j = N$ is satisfied with $0 = 0$, and (10) for $j = N$ have a solution

$$\mu H_N = \tilde{J}_{N-1}^- \frac{\sinh 2K^h}{\cosh 2K^h - 1}.$$

Some of these systems 1-1)-1-5), with 2-1) or 2-2) have been introduced in connection with experiments and theoretical interests.

Fisher and Ferdinand calculated[4] the free energies of two-dimensional Ising models on various lattices with various boundary conditions. Their motivation was to explain the shifts and rounding of the specific heat maximum observed in experiments, in terms of the effects of microcrystalline structure. They introduced a grain boundary in which a vertical ladder of j horizontal bonds has modified interactions ξJ . Their case with $\xi = 0$ and $j \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to our case 1-1) with 2-2).

The two-dimensional Ising model with the periodic boundary condition in the horizontal direction and the free boundary conditions in the vertical direction was considered in a study by Schultz et al.[3], in which they diagonalized the model as a many-fermion system and obtained the free energy. Abraham solved[5] the eigenvalue problem for the transfer matrix of the rectangular Ising model with the periodic boundary condition in the vertical direction and the free boundary conditions in the horizontal direction, and investigated the detailed band structure. This model corresponds to the case 1-1) with 2-2).

The Ising model on a cylinder with the boundary condition that the spins on one edge are fixed to be up and the spins on the other edge are fixed to be down[6], and that with another boundary condition that the spins on the two edges are fixed to be up[7], were considered in order to shed light on the surface tension problem between two oppositely magnetized phases.

McCoy and Wu[8] considered the rectangular Ising model with uniform horizontal interactions, and vertical interactions that vary randomly from row to row, with the periodic boundary condition in the horizontal direction, and with the free boundary conditions in the vertical direction. They attempted to explain experiments that showed that the specific heat is a smooth function at T_c . They suspected that this effect was due to the presence of random impurities. This model coincides with our case 1-4) with 2-2) if the distribution of the random interactions is assumed to be random $\pm J$, though they assumed a narrow power law distribution function in their calculations. Their distribution function can be introduced in our case 1-5) with 2-2), and it is not unreasonable to expect similar behavior in our system. They obtained the result that the specific heat is not divergent and infinitely differentiable at and near T_c though it is not analytic. It was also derived in another paper [9] that the usual parametrization in terms of critical exponents does not describe the critical behavior of this model.

Shanker and Murthy[10][11] considered a model in which the vertical interactions are fixed and ferromagnetic, and the horizontal interactions are equal in each row and vary randomly in sign and in magnitude. Their model generally includes frustration. They assumed the periodic boundary conditions in two directions. This model corresponds to our case 1-5) with 2-1), provided that the horizontal interactions are random but have the same sign. They mapped the problem to a collection of one-dimensional random field problems, and identified three phase transitions.

The commutation relation (6) certifies that V and H_{XY} can be diagonalized simultaneously. Moreover, it can be derived that the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of V coincides with the ground state of H_{XY} , and hence the thermodynamic properties of the two-dimensional Ising models and the ground state properties of the one-dimensional XY models are related to each other.

In order to show this coincidence, let us consider the general properties of V and H_{XY} . The matrix $\sum_{j=1}^N K_j^{v*} \sigma_j^z$ is diagonal, and hence all the elements of $\exp[\sum_{j=1}^N K_j^{v*} \sigma_j^z]$ are non-negative. When $K_j^h \geq 0$, the elements of $\sum_{j=1}^N K_j^h \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x$ are non-negative and hence the elements of $\exp[\sum_{j=1}^N K_j^h \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x]$ are also non-negative. Therefore, in this case, all the matrix elements of V are non-negative. Because the Ising interactions are written as $\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x = s_j^+ s_{j+1}^+ + s_j^- s_{j+1}^- + s_j^+ s_{j+1}^- + s_j^- s_{j+1}^+$, the

matrix V becomes block-diagonal and contains two irreducible block elements, one is $V^{(1)}$ which operates on the bases states with even number of up spins and the other is $V^{(2)}$ which operates on the bases states with odd number of up spins:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} V^{(1)} & O \\ O & V^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The block element $V^{(1)}$ is irreducible because $(V^{(1)})^m$ is irreducible for sufficiently large m . (This comes from the fact that $(V^{(1)})^m$ cannot be irreducible if $V^{(1)}$ is reducible.) Similarly $V^{(2)}$ is also irreducible. Let $\Phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\Phi_I^{(2)}$ be the eigenstates of V for the maximum eigenvalue of $V^{(1)}$ and $V^{(2)}$, respectively. These are expressed as

$$\Phi_I^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_I^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_I^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \phi_I^{(2)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\phi_I^{(2)}$ are vectors with $2^N/2$ elements, and $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero vector with $2^N/2$ elements. From the Perron-Frobenius theorem, the elements of $\phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\phi_I^{(2)}$ are non-negative. Because the two block elements $V^{(1)}$ and $V^{(2)}$ are irreducible, $\phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\phi_I^{(2)}$ are non-degenerate eigenstates of $V^{(1)}$ and $V^{(2)}$, respectively, and the elements of $\phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\phi_I^{(2)}$ are all positive.

Next let us consider the Hamiltonian H_{XY} with $J_j^k > 0$ ($k = x, y$). When we consider a matrix $-H_{XY} + cI$, where I is the unit matrix and c is a positive and sufficiently large constant. The eigenstates of $-H_{XY} + cI$ are also the eigenstates of H_{XY} . In particular, the ground state of the Hamiltonian H_{XY} is the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of $-H_{XY} + cI$. Because the XY interactions are written as

$$J_j^x \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + J_j^y \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y = (J_j^x - J_j^y)(s_j^+ s_{j+1}^+ + s_j^- s_{j+1}^-) + (J_j^x + J_j^y)(s_j^+ s_{j+1}^- + s_j^- s_{j+1}^+),$$

the Hamiltonian H_{XY} is block-diagonalized into two blocks with even and odd number of up spins. From (12), the interaction constants satisfy $J_j^x > J_j^y$, and hence all the matrix elements of $-H_{XY} + cI$ are non-negative and the two block elements are irreducible. Let $\Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ and $\Phi_{XY}^{(2)}$ be the eigenstate of H_{XY} for the lowest energy eigenvalue of even and odd block element, respectively. These are expressed as

$$\Phi_{XY}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{XY}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{XY}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \phi_{XY}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that the elements of $\phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ and $\phi_{XY}^{(2)}$ are all positive.

The matrices V and H_{XY} are both Hermitian, and hence their common eigenstates form (or can be arranged to form) an orthogonal basis set. Therefore, any two eigenstates of V and H_{XY} are orthogonal or identical, or belong to a degenerate eigenspace. Because all the coefficients of $\phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ are all positive, $\Phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ cannot be orthogonal. Considering the fact that $\phi_I^{(1)}$ and $\phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ are non-degenerate eigenstates of the corresponding block elements, respectively, it follows that $\Phi_I^{(1)} = \Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ except overall constant. Similarly, we obtain $\Phi_I^{(2)} = \Phi_{XY}^{(2)}$ except overall constant.

In the cases with $K_j^h < 0$ and $J_j^k < 0$ ($k = x, y$) for some j , one can introduce a rotation of π around the z axis in the spin space, and the problem is mapped to one with $K_j^h > 0$ and $J_j^k > 0$ ($k = x, y$), which yields the same result.

The remaining problem is to identify the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of H_I and the ground state of H_{XY} . For the periodic case 1-1) with 2-1), it has been shown in [2] through a direct diagonalization by fermion operators that the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of V is $\Phi_I^{(1)}$, and that the ground state of H_{XY} is $\Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$.

In the case of 1-1) with 2-2), the XY model has free ends. The Hamiltonian H_{XY} is separated into two sectors, one with even number of fermions (i.e. the block-element with even number of up spins), and the other with odd number of fermions (i.e. the block-element with odd number of up spins). It is most clearly seen in the calculation by Niemeijer [12] that the energy eigenvalues and the eigenvectors are obtained from an eigenvalue problem for a symmetric matrix. Hence the XY model with free boundary conditions can be solved, and the ground state is unique and found in the even sector.

Schultz et al. [3] diagonalized the two-dimensional Ising model with free boundary conditions in one direction. The maximum eigenvalue of the transfer matrix was found in the sector with even number of fermions (i.e. in the block-element with even number of up spins), and was non-degenerate above T_c . Below T_c , the maximum eigenvalue of the odd sector becomes degenerate with that of the even sector in the thermodynamic limit.

Consequently, we again find, for the case 1-1) with 2-2), that the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of V is $\Phi_I^{(1)}$, and the ground state of H_{XY} is $\Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$, where $\Phi_I^{(1)} = \Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ except overall constant.

The cases with $K_j^h < 0$ and $J_j^k < 0$ ($k = x, y$) for some j can be mapped to the cases with $K_j^h > 0$ and $J_j^k > 0$ ($k = x, y$) by a rotation of π around the z axis in the spin space. Because the energy eigenvalues are invariant by the rotation, the results for 1-1) with 2-1) or 2-2) are still valid in the cases 1-2) and 1-4) with 2-1) or 2-2).

Finally, let us consider the cases 1-3) and 1-5) with 2-1) or 2-2).

The system with $K_j^h > 0$ can be mapped to the system with $K_j^h < 0$, and the rectangular Ising model with $K_j^v < 0$ and $K_j^h < 0$ for all j can be mapped to that with $K_j^v > 0$ and $K_j^h > 0$. It is easy to check that the corresponding XY models are also mapped each other by a gauge transformation. Therefore, we can assume that $K_j^v > 0$ and $K_j^h > 0$ for all j . Then the elements of V_1 and V_2 are all non-negative because K_j^{v*} are real and K_j^h are positive. Without loss of generality, one can assume that $\tau_j > 0$ in (12) and then the interactions J_j^x and J_j^y satisfy $J_j^x - J_j^y > 0$ and $J_j^x + J_j^y > 0$. All the non-zero elements of V and $-H_{XY} + cI$ remain non-zero as long as K_j^v and K_j^h remain real, finite and non-zero.

From the Perron-Frobenius theorem, the maximum eigenvalue of each block element is non-degenerate. When we assume that the eigenvalues are continuous in terms of the coupling constants, this leads to the result that level crossings do not occur in each block element as long as all the non-zero matrix elements remain non-zero. Therefore $\phi_I^{(1)} = \phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ is the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of the even block element, and $\phi_I^{(2)} = \phi_{XY}^{(2)}$ is that of the odd block element, for real, finite and non-zero K_j^v and K_j^h . The state $\Phi_I^{(1)} = \Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ is the eigenstate for the maximum eigenvalue of V as well as the ground state of H_{XY} , at least in a neighborhood of the uniform point 1-1).

The correlation functions of the two-dimensional Ising model and those in the ground state $\Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ of the one-dimensional XY model are related to each other. Let $f(\sigma)$ be a product of the Pauli operators σ_j^x . When one take the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ in the equation

$$\frac{\text{Tr} f(\sigma)(V_1 V_2)^M}{\text{Tr}(V_1 V_2)^M} = \frac{\text{Tr} f(\sigma) V_1^{1/2} V^M V_1^{-1/2}}{\text{Tr} V^M},$$

one obtains the relation of the expectation values as

$$\langle f(\sigma) \rangle_I = \langle V_1^{-1/2} f(\sigma) V_1^{1/2} \rangle_{XY},$$

where $\langle f(\sigma) \rangle_I$ is the expectation value of a spin product on the same row of the Ising model. In particular, the two-spin correlation functions satisfy the relation

$$\langle \sigma_{ij}^x \sigma_{ik}^x \rangle_I = \cosh K_j^v \cosh K_k^v \langle \sigma_j^x \sigma_k^x \rangle_{XY} - \sinh K_j^v \sinh K_k^v \langle \sigma_j^y \sigma_k^y \rangle_{XY},$$

where we used the fact that all the elements of $\Phi_{XY}^{(1)}$ are real, and hence $\langle \sigma_j^x \sigma_k^y \rangle_{XY} = 0$ for $j \neq k$.

As shown in [2], the critical point T_c of the two-dimensional Ising model corresponds to the critical field H_c of the one-dimensional XY model, and two quivalent models show the same critical singularities.

However, especially in the cases with random coupling parameters, complex critical behavior can occur depending on the distribution function for $\{K_j^v\}$. It is known that the Griffiths-McCoy phase, which was originally found in two-dimensional random Ising models,[9][13] appears in the one-dimensional random transverse Ising model,[14] and one-dimensional XY model with random interactions and random fields[15]. As mentioned above, it is also found[10][11] that the Griffiths-McCoy phase appears in the two-dimensional Ising model with random horizontal interactions, which corresponds to our case 1-5) with 1-1). The relations derived in this letter shows the exact equivalence of certain kind of two-dimensional random Ising models and the one-dimensional random XY models.

References

- [1] M.Suzuki: Phys. Lett. 34A (1971) 94.
- [2] M.Suzuki: Prog. Theor. Phys. 46 (1971) 1337.
- [3] T.D.Schultz, D.C.Mattis and E.H.Lieb: Rev. Mod. Phys. 36 (1964) 856
- [4] M.E.Fisher and A.E.Ferdinand: Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 169.
- [5] D. B. Abraham: Stud. Appl. Math. 50 (1971) 71.
- [6] D.B.Abraham and G.Gallavotti: Lett. Nuovo Cimento 2 (1971) 143
- [7] D.B. Abraham and P. Reed: Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 (1974) 377.
- [8] B.M. McCoy and T. T.Wu: Phys. Rev. 176 (1968) 631.
- [9] B.M. McCoy: Phys. Rev. Lett. 23 (1969) 383.
- [10] R. Shankar and G. Murthy, Phys. Rev. B 35 (1987) 3671.
- [11] R. Shankar and G. Murthy, Phys. Rev. B 36 (1987) 536.
- [12] T. Niemeijer: Physica 36 (1967) 377.
- [13] R.B. Griffiths: Phys. Rev. Lett. 23 (1969) 17.
- [14] D.S.Fisher: Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995) 6411.
- [15] R.H. McKenzie: Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 4804.